CED 9 2 7005

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

dal No.:

09/434,394

Confirmation No.:

3898

Applicant:

John S. Yates, Jr., et al.

Title:

DETECTING REORDERED SIDE-EFFECTS

Filed:

November 4, 1999

Art Unit:

2192

Examiner:

H.V. Nguyen Ba

Atty. Docket:

114596-20-4009

Customer No.

38492

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

Mail Stop Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Applicant hereby responds to the Office Action of May 20, 2005.

PETITION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME

Applicant petitions for a one-month extension of time to respond to the Office Action of May 20, 2005, to and including September 20, 2005.

Kindly charge the petition fee to Deposit Account No. 23-2405, Order No. 114596-20-4009.

AMENDMENTS TO THE CLAIMS are set forth in the Appendix to this paper.

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS begin on page 1 of this paper.

I certify that this correspondence, along with any documents referred to therein, is being deposited with the United States Postal Service on September 20, 2005 as First Class Mail in an envelope with sufficient postage addressed to Mail Stop Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22613-1450.

114596-20-4009

⊬1√1 09/434,394

Response to Office Action
This paper dated September 20, 2005

2994636.1

Application Serial No. 09/434,394 Attorney Docket No. 114596-20-4009

mendment Dated September 20, 2005 – Response to Office Action of May 20, 2005

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Y.	Real Party in Interest				
	Related Appeals and Interferences				
	II. Status of Claims				
			lments		
			ary of the Subject Matter		
٧1.					
	A.	Issue	s Relating to the '379 Patent		
		1.	Incomplete Analysis		
		2.	Improper and Incorrect Assertion of Inherency		
		3.	Failure to "Answer All Material Traversed"		
		4.	Incomplete Claims		
		5.	Request Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.104(d)(2)	9	
	В.	Unid	Unidentified Issue Relating to the '181 Patent		
		1.	The Office Action Does Not Identify Any Legal Basis		
		2.	Erroneous Reliance on the Specification of the Prior Patent	10	
		3.	Incomplete Identification Of Differences	10	
		4.	Failure To Make Any Showing Of Obviousness Of A Differing "Sequence Of Side Effects"		
		5.	Incomplete And Incorrect Assertions Of "Inherency"		
VII	II. Dependent claims				
VΠ	•				